

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 317 OF 2006

Union of India & Ors.] .. Petitioners

Versus

M.R. Kadam] .. Respondents

Mr. V.S. Masurkar with Mr. N.D. Sharma i/b T.C.
Kaushik for the petitioners.

Mr. Saikumar Ramamurthy for the respondent No.1.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED: 22ND MARCH, 2006

P.C. :

. Heard learned counsel for the parties. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 24th June, 2005 and the direction issued as set out in paragraph 2. The major grievance is that the said direction was issued even without notice to the petitioners.

2. Having heard learned counsel, we are of the view that paragraph 2 is merely a direction to the petitioners to consider the representation according to law, made by the respondents. There is no direction that the respondent has to be regularised.

3. Considering that, no interference is called for. Petition disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

Sd/-
[ANOOPI V. MOHTA, J.]